	VODV
	Along EJ
1	POPPAGE CELLED NUMBERAL
1	ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP SHAWN A. WILLIAMS (213113) Post Montgomery Center
2	SHAWN A. WILLIAMS (213113)
3	Post Montgomery Center
3	One Montgomery Street, Suite 1800 San Francisco, CA 94104
4	Telephone: 415/288-4545
а 1	415/288-4534 (fax)
-5	shawnw@rgrdlaw.com – and –
6	PAUL J. GELLER
7	STUART A. DAVIDSON
	MARK J. DEARMAN KATHLEEN L. BARBER BARNOW AND ASSOCIATES, P.C.
8	120 East Palmetto Park Road, Suite 500 BEN BARNOW
	Boca Raton, FL 33432 ERICH P. SCHORK
9	Telephone: 561/750-3000One North LaSalle Street, Suite 4600561/750-3364 (fax)Chicago, IL 60602
10	pgeller@rgrdlaw.com Telephone: 312/621-2000
	sdavidson@rgrdlaw.com 312/641-5504 (fax)
11	mdearman@rgrdlaw.com b.barnow@barnowlaw.com kbarber@rgrdlaw.com e.schork@barnowlaw.com
12	e.schork@daffidwiaw.com
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff
13	[Additional counsel appear on signature page.]
14	
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
16	
17	SAN JOSE DIVISION
	FRANK M. FAZIO, Individually and on) (No. 2017 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
18	Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,
19) <u>CLASS ACTION</u>
	Plaintiff,)) COMPLAINT FOR:
20	vs. 1. VIOLATIONS OF THE CONSUMERS
21	LEGAL REMEDIES ACT, CALIFORNIA
	APPLE INC., a California corporation, ²
22	Defendant.) 2. VIOLATIONS OF THE UNFAIR OCMPETITION LAW, CALIFORNIA
23	BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE
	§17200 ET SEQ.;
24	3. BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTY;
25	4. INTENTIONAL MISREPRESENTATION
26	AND
26	5. NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION
27	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
28	
~~~	

Plaintiff Frank M. Fazio ("Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly
 situated, brings this Class Action Complaint against defendant Apple Inc. ("Apple" or "Defendant"),
 and alleges as follows:

#### NATURE OF THE ACTION

5 1. This is a consumer class action brought by Plaintiff on behalf of himself and all others
6 similarly situated who purchased, for use and not resale, in the United States and its territories and its
7 protectorates, Apple's iPhone 4S (the "iPhone 4S").

8 2. The Apple iPhone, which includes several different versions (*e.g.*, iPhone 3, iPhone 4,
9 and iPhone 4S) functions as a mobile phone, an iPod, and an Internet communications device all in
10 one and features desktop-class email, web browsing, searching, and maps. The iPhone is compatible
11 with both Mac and Windows-based computers.

3. The iPhone 4S is the latest version of the iPhone and is distinguished by Defendant
from its other iPhone devices, including the iPhone 4, predominantly based on the inclusion and
touted benefits of a feature styled "Siri."

4. Through an extensive and comprehensive nationwide marketing campaign, Defendant
has conveyed the misleading and deceptive message that the iPhone 4S's Siri feature, a so-called
voice-activated assistant, performs useful functions and otherwise works as advertised.

For example, in many of Apple's television advertisements, individuals are shown
 using Siri to make appointments, find restaurants, and even learn the guitar chords to classic rock
 songs or how to tie a tie. In the commercials, all of these tasks are done with ease with the assistance
 of the iPhone 4S's Siri feature, a represented functionality contrary to the actual operating results
 and performance of Siri.

23 6. Defendant's advertising and marketing campaign is designed to cause consumers to
24 purchase the iPhone 4S over other smart phones because of its Siri feature.

7. To the detriment of Plaintiff and the putative Class (as defined below), Defendant's
marketing campaign has succeeded. On January 25, 2012, Apple issued its financial results for its
fiscal 2012 first quarter ending December 31, 2011, and reported selling approximately 37 million

28

4

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE CONSUMERS LEGAL REMEDIES ACT

- 1 -

iPhones for the quarter. According to a recent iPhone blog, approximately 89% of the 37 million
 iPhones sold that quarter, almost 33 million, were iPhone 4Ss.

8. Defendant's advertisements regarding the Siri feature are fundamentally and
designedly false and misleading. Notwithstanding Apple's extensive multi-million dollar advertising
campaign showcasing the Siri feature, and the fact that the iPhone 4S is more expensive than the
iPhone 4, the iPhone 4S's Siri feature does not perform as advertised, rendering the iPhone 4S
merely a more expensive iPhone 4.

9. Defendant's misrepresentations concerning the Siri feature of the iPhone 4S are
misleading, false, and reasonably likely to deceive and have deceived Plaintiff and members of the
putative Class.

11 10. Apple has its headquarters in California and sells the iPhone 4S throughout the
12 United States and its territories and protectorates. As a result of the misleading messages about the
13 iPhone 4S's Siri feature, conveyed through its nationwide advertising and marketing campaign,
14 Apple has been able to charge a significant price premium for the iPhone 4S.

15 11. Indeed, according to Apple's website, an iPhone 4S starts at \$199, while the iPhone 4
16 starts at \$99.¹

17 12. Defendant knew or should have known that the iPhone 4S does not perform in
18 accordance with the advertisements, marketing materials, and warranties disseminated by Defendant
19 in its nationwide marketing and advertising campaign.

13. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated
consumers who purchased the iPhone 4S, in order to halt the dissemination of Apple's false and
misleading advertising message, and to obtain redress for those who have purchased an iPhone 4S.
Plaintiff alleges violations of the Consumers Legal Remedies Act, California Civil Code §1750 *et seq.* (the "California Act"); violations of the Unfair Competition Law, California Business and

25

26 1 See http://store.apple.com/us/browse/home/shop_iphone/family/iphone/iphone4s (last visited
27 Feb. 28, 2012); http://store .apple.com/us/browse/home/shop_iphone/family/iphone/iphone4 (last visited Feb. 28, 2012).

28

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE CONSUMERS LEGAL REMEDIES ACT

- 2 -

1	Professions Code §17200 et seq. (the "UCL"); breach of express warranty; intentional			
2	misrepresentation; and negligent misrepresentation.			
3	JURISDICTION AND VENUE			
4	14. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1332(a)(1) as modified by the			
5	Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, because at least one member of the Class is a citizen of a			
6	different state than Defendant, there are more than 100 members of the Class, and the aggregate			
7	amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000.00, exclusive of interest and costs.			
8	15. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b), venue is proper in this District because a substantial			
9	part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this District.			
10	INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT			
11	16. A substantial part of the events or omissions which give rise to the claims in this			
12	action occurred in the county of Santa Clara, and as such this action is properly assigned to the San			
13	Jose branch of this Court.			
14	PARTIES			
1.0				
15	17. Plaintiff Frank M. Fazio is a citizen and resident of the State of New York. On			
15 16	17. Plaintiff Frank M. Fazio is a citizen and resident of the State of New York. On November 19, 2011, Plaintiff purchased an iPhone 4S from a Best Buy retail store in Brooklyn, New			
16	November 19, 2011, Plaintiff purchased an iPhone 4S from a Best Buy retail store in Brooklyn, New			
16 17 18	November 19, 2011, Plaintiff purchased an iPhone 4S from a Best Buy retail store in Brooklyn, New York for the price of \$299. In purchasing his iPhone 4S, Plaintiff was exposed to Apple's			
16 17 18	November 19, 2011, Plaintiff purchased an iPhone 4S from a Best Buy retail store in Brooklyn, New York for the price of \$299. In purchasing his iPhone 4S, Plaintiff was exposed to Apple's representations regarding the Siri feature. Plaintiff would not have paid the price he paid for the			
16 17 18 19	November 19, 2011, Plaintiff purchased an iPhone 4S from a Best Buy retail store in Brooklyn, New York for the price of \$299. In purchasing his iPhone 4S, Plaintiff was exposed to Apple's representations regarding the Siri feature. Plaintiff would not have paid the price he paid for the iPhone 4S, if he had not seen these representations.			
16 17 18 19 20	November 19, 2011, Plaintiff purchased an iPhone 4S from a Best Buy retail store in Brooklyn, New York for the price of \$299. In purchasing his iPhone 4S, Plaintiff was exposed to Apple's representations regarding the Siri feature. Plaintiff would not have paid the price he paid for the iPhone 4S, if he had not seen these representations. 18. Defendant Apple is a California corporation with its headquarters and principal place			
16 17 18 19 20 21	November 19, 2011, Plaintiff purchased an iPhone 4S from a Best Buy retail store in Brooklyn, New York for the price of \$299. In purchasing his iPhone 4S, Plaintiff was exposed to Apple's representations regarding the Siri feature. Plaintiff would not have paid the price he paid for the iPhone 4S, if he had not seen these representations. 18. Defendant Apple is a California corporation with its headquarters and principal place of business in Cupertino, California, within this District. Apple is the designer and manufacturer of			
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	November 19, 2011, Plaintiff purchased an iPhone 4S from a Best Buy retail store in Brooklyn, New York for the price of \$299. In purchasing his iPhone 4S, Plaintiff was exposed to Apple's representations regarding the Siri feature. Plaintiff would not have paid the price he paid for the iPhone 4S, if he had not seen these representations. 18. Defendant Apple is a California corporation with its headquarters and principal place of business in Cupertino, California, within this District. Apple is the designer and manufacturer of the iPhone 4S. Apple transacts substantial business throughout the State of California, through			
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	November 19, 2011, Plaintiff purchased an iPhone 4S from a Best Buy retail store in Brooklyn, New York for the price of \$299. In purchasing his iPhone 4S, Plaintiff was exposed to Apple's representations regarding the Siri feature. Plaintiff would not have paid the price he paid for the iPhone 4S, if he had not seen these representations. 18. Defendant Apple is a California corporation with its headquarters and principal place of business in Cupertino, California, within this District. Apple is the designer and manufacturer of the iPhone 4S. Apple transacts substantial business throughout the State of California, through advertising, marketing, and ownership of Apple retail stores in several California locations,			
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	November 19, 2011, Plaintiff purchased an iPhone 4S from a Best Buy retail store in Brooklyn, New York for the price of \$299. In purchasing his iPhone 4S, Plaintiff was exposed to Apple's representations regarding the Siri feature. Plaintiff would not have paid the price he paid for the iPhone 4S, if he had not seen these representations. 18. Defendant Apple is a California corporation with its headquarters and principal place of business in Cupertino, California, within this District. Apple is the designer and manufacturer of the iPhone 4S. Apple transacts substantial business throughout the State of California, through advertising, marketing, and ownership of Apple retail stores in several California locations,			
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ol>	November 19, 2011, Plaintiff purchased an iPhone 4S from a Best Buy retail store in Brooklyn, New York for the price of \$299. In purchasing his iPhone 4S, Plaintiff was exposed to Apple's representations regarding the Siri feature. Plaintiff would not have paid the price he paid for the iPhone 4S, if he had not seen these representations. 18. Defendant Apple is a California corporation with its headquarters and principal place of business in Cupertino, California, within this District. Apple is the designer and manufacturer of the iPhone 4S. Apple transacts substantial business throughout the State of California, through advertising, marketing, and ownership of Apple retail stores in several California locations,			
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> </ol>	November 19, 2011, Plaintiff purchased an iPhone 4S from a Best Buy retail store in Brooklyn, New York for the price of \$299. In purchasing his iPhone 4S, Plaintiff was exposed to Apple's representations regarding the Siri feature. Plaintiff would not have paid the price he paid for the iPhone 4S, if he had not seen these representations. 18. Defendant Apple is a California corporation with its headquarters and principal place of business in Cupertino, California, within this District. Apple is the designer and manufacturer of the iPhone 4S. Apple transacts substantial business throughout the State of California, through advertising, marketing, and ownership of Apple retail stores in several California locations,			

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE CONSUMERS LEGAL REMEDIES ACT

- 3 -

### SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS

Background

3 19. Apple manufactures, designs, produces, and sells several types of electronic products, 4 including, among others, personal computers, portable music players, cellular phones, and other 5 communication devices. Among these products is the well-known iPhone 4S, launched in October 6 2011.

#### 7 Apple's Deceptive Marketing Campaign

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1

2

20. On October 4, 2011, Apple issued a press release introducing Siri and stating:²

iPhone 4S also introduces Siri, an intelligent assistant that helps you get things done just by asking. Siri understands context allowing you to speak naturally when you ask it questions, for example, if you ask "Will I need an umbrella this weekend?" it understands you are looking for a weather forecast. Siri is also smart about using the personal information you allow it to access, for example, if you tell Siri "Remind me to call Mom when I get home" it can find "Mom" in your address book, or ask Siri "What's the traffic like around here?" and it can figure out where "here" is based on your current location. Siri helps you make calls, send text messages or email, schedule meetings and reminders, make notes, search the Internet, find local businesses, get directions and more. You can also get answers, find facts and even perform complex calculations just by asking.

15

21. In many of Apple's video advertisements, individuals are shown using Siri to make 16

17

appointments, find restaurants, and even learn guitar chords to classic rock songs or how to tie a tie. 22.

For example, a television advertisement entitled "Road Trip" shows a couple asking 18 Siri numerous questions while traveling to Santa Cruz, California, including "Where is the best 19 barbeque in Kansas City?," "Is there a rodeo in Amarillo today?," and "How big is the Grand 20 Canyon?"³ In response to the question, "[Are there] any gas stations we can walk to?," Siri 21 immediately answers, "I found two gas stations fairly close to you," and the name and star rating of 22 two gas stations show up on the user's iPhone 4S screen. Similarly, when asked "What does Orion 23 look like?," Siri responds with a map of the Orion constellation and states, "I found this for you." 24

- 25
- http://www.apple.com/pr/library/2011/10/04Apple-Launches-iPhone-4S-iOS-5-iCloud.html 26 (last visited Feb. 27, 2012).
- 27 Apple Inc., "TV Ads," http://www.apple.com/iphone/videos/#tv-ads-rockgod (last visited Feb. 27, 2012). 28

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE CONSUMERS LEGAL REMEDIES ACT

- 4 -

Likewise, in the television advertisement entitled "Rock God," a guitar player asks
 Siri numerous questions including, "How do I play London Calling" and "[How do I play] Whole
 Lotta Love?"⁴ In response to the question "[How do I play] a B Minor Ninth?," Siri responds with
 the proper notes, chord, and sheet music:

5

21

6		ntil 3G	10:41 AM	3.0 3.000	
7		found	this for your		
8			behave also also also	and the	
9		the new second	nth chand in the same,	1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -	
10		<ul> <li>B₄ D₅</li> <li>Observation</li> </ul>	Pla A, Clo		
11		B.			
12		1 13			
13		<u>()</u>			
14				Complexity of the	
15					
16			and the second s		

According to Apple's website, four out of the seven most recent iPhone 4S television
advertisements focus solely on Siri.⁵

19 25. Apple's website also touts Siri as a major selling point. For example, the "iPhone"
20 tab on Apple's website brings you to welcome screen stating:⁶

22 23 24 Apple Inc., "TV Ads," http://www.apple.com/iphone/videos/#tv-ads-roadtrip (last visited 25 Feb. 27, 2012). 26 http://www.apple.com/iphone/videos/#tv-ads-roadtrip (last visited Feb. 28, 2012). 27 http://www.apple.com/iphone/ (last visited Feb. 27, 2012). 28

- 5 -

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE CONSUMERS LEGAL REMEDIES ACT

## Introducing Siri.

# The intelligent assistant that's there to help. Just ask.

Ask Siri to make calls, send texts, set reminders, and more. Just talk the way you talk. Siri understands what you say and knows what you mean.

26. The website also contains a link to a video entitled "IPhone 4S Video," which 7 introduces the iPhone 4S as well as its new features.⁷ The iPhone 4S Video depicts multiple 8 demonstrations involving Siri and its capabilities. For instance, in response to the request, "Find me 9 an Italian restaurant in North Beach," Siri answers, "OK these 25 Italian restaurants are in North 10 Beach" as the iPhone 4S user screen shows the name and star rating of 25 Italian restaurants located 11 in North Beach. The iPhone 4S Video next shows a man jogging and asking Siri to "Move my 12 meeting with Kelly Altech to 12:00 p.m." Siri responds, "Note that you already have a meeting 13 about budgets at 12 p.m."

14

1

2

3

4

5

6

Also during the iPhone 4S Video, Scott Forstall, Senior Vice President iOS Software,
further comments on Siri, stating: "It's like this amazing assistant that listens to you, understands
you, can answer your questions and can even accomplish tasks for you. . . . A lot of devices can
recognize the words you say, but the ability to understand what you mean and act on it, that's the
breakthrough with Siri."

19

28. On January 25, 2012, Apple issued its financial results for its fiscal 2012 first quarter
ending December 31, 2011, and reported selling approximately 37 million iPhones for the quarter.
According to a recent iPhone blog, approximately 89% of the 37 million iPhones sold that quarter
were iPhone 4Ss.⁸ In addition, the blog estimated that approximately 42% of current iPhone 4S

24

Apple Inc., "Watch the iPhone 4S video," http://www.apple.com/iphone (last visited Feb. 27, 2012).

27 ⁸ http://www.theiphoneblog.net/iphone-4s-contributed-89-of-total-us-iphone-sales/ (last visited Feb. 27, 2012).

28

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE CONSUMERS LEGAL REMEDIES ACT

- 6 -

	1 users cancelled their contracts with other carriers in order to purchase the iPhone 4S. ⁹ Indeed, a
	2 Consumer Intelligence Research poll of 6,316,365 iPhone users found that from October 2001
	³ through the end of 2011, 36% of iPhone 4S users had switched from a different platform. ¹⁰
5	Soon Learns Siri Does Not Perform As Advertised
6	29. On November 19, 2011, Plaintiff purchased his iPhone AS Serial Number
7	C39GECDIDTEE from a Best Buy retail store in Kings County M. W.
8	30. Promptly after the purchase of his iPhone 4S. Plaintiff realized that Similar
9	performing as advertised. For instance, when Plaintiff asked Siri for directions to a cortain relation
10	to locate a store, Siri either did not understand what Plaintiff was asking or after a years long and
11	time, responded with the wrong answer.
11	31. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff's problems with Siri are not unique, and they
12	have repeatedly occurred with use of the iPhone 4S.
13	32. In addition to the fact that Siri does not perform as advertised, recent reports have
14	shown that continuous Siri usage dramatically increases an iPhone 4S users' monthly data usage, and
16	can easily push users over their data plans. ¹¹
10	33. Defendant had actual or constructive knowledge of the iPhone 4S's shortcomings
18	prior to its distribution. Indeed, buried in Apple's website is the amorphous sentence: "Siri is
19	currently in beta and we'll continue to improve it over time." ¹²
20	34. To the detriment of consumers, however, the bulk of Apple's massive marketing and
20 21	advertising campaign, including its dominant and expansive television advertisements, fail to
22	
23	9 Id.
24	¹⁰ http://www.phonearena.com/news/Breaking-down-Apple-iPhone-4S-buyers-with-statistics_ id26184 (last visited Feb. 27, 2012).
25	¹¹ Jacqui Cheng, Siri, how much data do you gobble up in a month?, Ars Technica,
26	http://arstechnica.com/apple/news/2011/11/how-data-heavy-is-siri-on-an-iphone-4s-ars- investigates.ars (last visited Mar. 6, 2012).
27	¹² http://www.apple.com/iphone/features/siri-faq.html (last visited Feb. 27, 2012).
28	
	COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE CONSTRUCT OF A DOMESTIC AND A DOMESTIC ADDRESS OF ADDRESS OF ADDRESS OF A DOMESTIC ADDRESS OF ADDRESS OF A DOMESTIC ADDRESS OF A DOMESTIC ADDRESS OF A DOMESTIC ADDRESS OF ADDRES
	COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE CONSUMERS LEGAL REMEDIES ACT - 7 -

e

mention the word "beta" and the fact that Siri is, at best, a work-in-progress. Indeed, it is only
 through following a series of links within Apple's website, including a footnote at the bottom of a
 page,¹³ that one would learn that Siri is only a work-in-progress.¹⁴

35. Similarly, Apple never disclosed that the Siri transactions depicted in its television
commercials are fiction and that actual consumers using actual iPhone 4Ss cannot reasonably expect
Siri to perform the tasks performed in Apple's commercials.

7 36. The information withheld from Plaintiff and the other Class members is material and
8 would have been considered by a reasonable person, as are the misrepresentations regarding Siri, all
9 as more detailed herein.

10

#### **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

37. Plaintiff brings this action as a class action pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil
 Procedure 23(a) and 23(b) on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated as members of the
 following Class:

14 15 All persons in the United States who purchased, for use and not resale, an Apple iPhone 4S (the "Class").

- 38. Subject to additional information obtained through further investigation and discovery, the foregoing definition of the Class may be expanded or narrowed by amendment or amended complaint. Specifically excluded from the proposed Class are Defendant and its officers, directors, agents, trustees, parents, children, corporations, trusts, representatives, employees, principals, servants, partners, joint ventures, or entities controlled by Defendant; its heirs, successors, assigns, or other persons or entities related to or affiliated with Defendant; its directors, or any of them; the Judge assigned to this action; and any member of the Judge's immediate family.
- 23

24

25

39. *Numerosity*. The members of the Class are so numerous that their individual joinder is impracticable. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the Class contains millions of members. The precise number of Class members is unknown to Plaintiff. The true

26

13

14

27

See n.1, http://www.apple.com/iphone/features/#siri (last visited Feb. 27, 2012).

http://www.apple.com/iphone/features/siri-faq.html (last visited Feb. 27, 2012).

28

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE CONSUMERS LEGAL REMEDIES ACT

- 8 -

1	number of Class members is known by Defendant, however, and, thus, may be notified of the					
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7	(a) Whether Siri works as advertised;					
8	(b) Whether Defendant knew that its representations about Siri were false and					
.9	misleading but continued to disseminate them;					
10	(c) Whether Defendant's claims are false, misleading, or reasonably likely to					
11	deceive;					
12	(d) Whether Defendant engaged in false or deceptive advertising;					
13	(e) Whether Defendant violated the California Act;					
14	(f) Whether Defendant violated the UCL;					
15	(g) Whether Defendant breached any express warranty to Plaintiff and the other					
16	Class members;					
17	(h) Whether Defendant intentionally misrepresented material facts relating to the					
18	character and quality of the iPhone 4S's Siri feature;					
19	(i) Whether Defendant negligently misrepresented material facts relating to the					
20	character and quality of the iPhone 4S's Siri feature;					
21	(j) Whether Defendant failed to disclose material facts about the limitations of					
22	the performance of the iPhone 4S's Siri feature;					
23	(k) Whether Plaintiff and the other Class members have sustained monetary loss					
24	and the proper measure of that loss;					
25	(1) Whether Plaintiff and the other Class members are entitled to damages and the					
26	proper measure of damages; and					
27	(m) Whether Plaintiff and the other Class members are entitled to declaratory and					
28	injunctive relief.					
	COMPLANT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE SOUTH THE SOUTH					
	COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE CONSUMERS LEGAL REMEDIES ACT -9-					

.

41. *Typicality*. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class in
 that Defendant manufactured, marketed, advertised, sold, and warranted the iPhone 4S, including its
 Siri features, to Plaintiff and all other members of the Class.

4

5

6

7

42. Adequacy of Representation. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class. Plaintiff has retained counsel highly experienced in complex consumer class action litigation, and Plaintiff intends to prosecute this action vigorously. Plaintiff has no adverse or antagonistic interests to those of the Class.

8 43. Superiority. A class action is superior to all other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. The damages or other financial detriment suffered by 9 individual Class members is relatively small compared to the burden and expense that would be 10 entailed by individual litigation of their claims against Defendant. It would thus be virtually 11 impossible for Class members, on an individual basis, to obtain effective redress for the wrongs done 12 to them. Furthermore, even if Class members could afford such individualized litigation, the court 13 system could not. Individualized litigation would create the danger of inconsistent or contradictory 14 judgments arising from the same set of facts. Individualized litigation would also increase the delay 15 and expense to all parties and the court system from the issues raised by this action. By contrast, the 16 class action device provides the benefits of adjudication of these issues in a single proceeding, 17 economies of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court, and presents no unusual 18 19 management difficulties under the circumstances here.

20

44. In the alternative, the Class may be also certified because:

(a) the prosecution of separate actions by individual Class members would create
 a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudication with respect to individual Class members that would
 establish incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant;

(b) the prosecution of separate actions by individual Class members would create
a risk of adjudications with respect to them that would, as a practical matter, be dispositive of the
interests of other Class members not parties to the adjudications, or substantially impair or impede
their ability to protect their interests; and/or

28

- 10 -

1	(c) Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the				
2	Class thereby making appropriate final declaratory and/or injunctive relief with respect to the				
3	members of the Class as a whole.				
4	45. The claims asserted herein are applicable to all consumers throughout the United				
5	States who purchased, for use and not resale, the iPhone 4S.				
6	46. Adequate notice can be given to Class members directly using information				
7	maintained in Defendant's records or through notice by publication.				
8	47. Damages may be calculated from the claims data maintained in Defendant's records,				
9	so that the cost of administering a recovery for the Class can be minimized. However, the precise				
10	amount of damages available to Plaintiff and the other members of the Class is not a barrier to class				
11	certification.				
12	COUNT I				
13	Violations of the Consumers Legal Remedies Act				
14	California Civil Code §1750, et seq.         48.       Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the previous allegations as if fully act				
15	48. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the previous allegations as if fully set forth herein.				
16					
17	and the outer for the cantonna Act. I familin and the outer				
18	Class members are consumers as defined by California Civil Code §1761(d). The product is a good				
19	within the meaning of the California Act.				
20	50. Defendant violated and continues to violate the California Act by engaging in the				
21	following practices proscribed by California Civil Code §1770(a) in transactions that were intended				
22	to result in, and did result in, the sale of the product:				
23	(a) Representing that the iPhone 4S has characteristics and benefits which it does				
24	not have;				
25	(b) Representing that the iPhone 4S is of a particular standard, quality, or grade,				
26	which it is not;				
27	(c) Advertising the iPhone 4S with intent not to sell it as advertised; and				
28					
	COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE CONSUMERS LEGAL REMEDIES ACT -11 -				

ņ

1

1(d) Representing that the iPhone 4S has been supplied in accordance with2previous representations when it has not.

51. Defendant knew, or should have known, that its representations and advertisements
regarding the iPhone 4S were unsubstantiated, false, and misleading.

5 52. Pursuant to California Civil Code §1782(d), Plaintiff seeks a Court order enjoining
6 the above-described wrongful acts and practices of Defendant and for restitution and disgorgement.

7 53. Pursuant to §1782 of the California Act, Notice to Defendant was satisfied when
8 Plaintiff sent a notice letter by certified mail to Defendant's Chief Executive Officer, Timothy Cook.

9 54. Defendant has failed to rectify or agree to rectify the problems associated with the
actions detailed above or give notice to all affected consumers within 30 days of the date of written
notice pursuant to §1782 of the California Act. Plaintiff hereby provides Defendant with an
additional 30 days from the date of this Complaint after which time Plaintiff will, without further
amendment, seek actual, punitive, and statutory damages, as appropriate. Defendant's conduct is
malicious, fraudulent, and wanton, and provides misleading information.

#### COUNT II

#### Violation of California's Unfair Competition Law California Business and Professions Code §17200, et seq.

55. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the previous allegations as if fully set
forth herein.

56. The UCL prohibits any "unlawful... business act or practice." Defendant violated
§17200's prohibition against engaging in unlawful acts and practices by, inter alia, engaging in false
and misleading advertising and omitting material facts, as set forth more fully herein, violating
California Civil Code §§1572-1573, 1709-1711 and 1770, and the common law.

Plaintiff reserves the right to allege other violations of law, which constitute other
 unlawful business acts or practices. Such conduct is ongoing and continues to this date.

26

15

16

17

58. The UCL also prohibits any "unfair or fraudulent business act or practice."

59. Defendant's acts, omissions, misrepresentations, practices, and non-disclosures
 alleged herein also constitute "unfair" business acts and practices within the meaning of the UCL in

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE CONSUMERS LEGAL REMEDIES ACT

- 12 -

that its conduct is substantially injurious to consumers, offends public policy, and is immoral,
 unethical, oppressive, and unscrupulous as the gravity of the conduct outweighs any alleged benefits
 attributable to such conduct.

60. As stated in this Complaint, Plaintiff alleges violations of consumer protection, unfair
competition, and truth in advertising laws in California resulting in harm to consumers. Plaintiff
asserts violations of the public policy of engaging in false and misleading advertising, unfair
competition, and deceptive conduct towards consumers. The conduct constitutes violations of the
unfair prong of the UCL. There were reasonably available alternatives to further Defendant's
legitimate business interests, other than the conduct described herein.

10 61. Defendant's claims, non-disclosures, and misleading statements, as more fully set
11 forth above, were false, misleading, and/or likely to deceive the consuming public within the
12 meaning of the UCL.

13 62. Defendant's conduct caused and continues to cause substantial injury to Plaintiff and
14 the other Class members. Plaintiff has suffered injury in fact and has lost money as a result of
15 Defendant's unfair conduct.

16 63. Defendant has thus engaged in unlawful, unfair, and fraudulent business acts and
17 practices in false advertising, entitling Plaintiff and the other Class members to judgment and
18 equitable relief against Defendant as set forth in the Prayer for Relief.

64. Additionally, pursuant to California Business & Professions Code §17203, Plaintiff
seeks an order requiring Defendant to immediately cease such acts of unlawful, unfair, and
fraudulent business practices and requiring Defendant to engage in a corrective advertising
campaign.

23

#### 24

#### **COUNT III**

#### **Breach of Express Warranty**

65. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the previous allegations as if fully set
forth herein.

27 66. Plaintiff and each member of the Class formed a contract with Defendant at the time
28 Plaintiff and the other Class members purchased Defendant's iPhone 4S. The terms of the contract

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE CONSUMERS LEGAL REMEDIES ACT

- 13 -

include the promises and affirmations of fact made by Defendant on its website and through its
marketing and advertising campaign that the iPhone 4S's Siri feature performs as advertised, as
described above.
67. This marketing and advertising constitutes express warranties, became part of the
basis of the bargain, and are part of a standardized contract between Plaintiff and the members of the
Class on the one hand and Defendant on the other.

68. Plaintiff reasonably relied upon such the promises and affirmations of fact contained
in Apple's marketing campaign.

7

15

16

17

22

23

69. Defendant breached the terms of this contract, including the express warranties, with
 Plaintiff and the Class by not providing the products as advertised and described above.

70. As a result of Defendant's breach of its contract and warranties, Plaintiff and the
 Class have been damaged in the amount of the purchase price of Defendant's product.

All conditions precedent to Defendant's liability under this express contract,
 including notice, have been performed by Plaintiff and the Class.

#### COUNT IV

#### **Intentional Misrepresentation**

72. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the previous allegations as if fully set
 forth herein.

At all relevant times, Defendant was engaged in the business of designing,
 manufacturing, marketing, distributing or selling the iPhone 4S.

74. Defendant, acting through its officers, agent, servants, representatives, or employees, delivered the iPhone 4S to its own retail stores, distributors, and various other distribution channels.

75. Defendant willfully, falsely, and knowingly misrepresented various material facts
 regarding the quality and character of the iPhone 4S's Siri feature. These misrepresentations are
 contained in various advertising and marketing disseminated or caused to be disseminated by
 Defendant, and such misrepresentations were further reiterated and disseminated by Defendant's
 officers, agents, representatives, servants, or employees acting within the scope of their authority, so

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE CONSUMERS LEGAL REMEDIES ACT

- 14 -

employed by Defendant to merchandise and market the iPhone 4S. Specifically, Apple's television
 commercials showed Siri performing a variety of functions and tasks that Siri is incapable of
 performing in the manner advertised.

Defendant's representations were made with the intent that the general public, 76. 4 including Plaintiff and the other Class members, rely upon them. Defendant's representations were 5 made with knowledge of the falsity of such statements, or in reckless disregard of the truth thereof. 6 If Plaintiff and the Class had been aware of these suppressed facts, Plaintiff and the Class would not 7 have purchased the iPhone 4S at the price sold by Defendant. 8 In reliance upon these misrepresentations, Plaintiff purchased the iPhone 4S for use of Siri as described in Defendant's 9 10 advertising.

11 77. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff and the Class allege that Defendant
12 misrepresented material facts with the intent to defraud Plaintiff and the Class. The information
13 withheld from Plaintiff and the other Class members is material and would have been considered by
14 a reasonable person, as are the misrepresentations regarding Siri, all as more detailed herein.

78. Plaintiff purchased the iPhone 4S under the impression that the iPhone 4S's Siri
feature functions as advertised, the direct and proximate results of which were injury and harm to
Plaintiff and the Class.

#### COUNT V

#### **Negligent Misrepresentation**

20 79. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the previous allegations as if fully set
21 forth herein.

80. Defendant negligently and recklessly misrepresented various material facts regarding
the quality and character of the iPhone 4S's Siri feature, under circumstances where Defendant either
knew or reasonably should have known that the representations were not true. These
misrepresentations were contained in various advertising and marketing from Defendant, and such
misrepresentations were further reiterated and disseminated by the officers, agents, representatives,
servants, or employees of Defendant acting within the scope of their authority.

28

18

19

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE CONSUMERS LEGAL REMEDIES ACT

- 15 -

1	81. The information withheld from Plaintiff and the other Class members is material an				
2	2 would have been considered by a reasonable person, as are the misrepresentations regarding Siri				
3					
4	82. Plaintiff purchased the iPhone 4S under the impression that the iPhone 4S's Sin				
.5	feature functions as advertised, the direct and proximate results of which were injury and harm t				
6	Plaintiff and the Class.				
7	PRAYER FOR RELIEF				
8	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, prays fo				
9	relief and judgment against Defendant as follows:				
10	A. Certification of this action as a class action, appointment of Plaintiff as a Class				
11	representative, and appointment of the undersigned counsel as Class counsel;				
12	B. An order declaring the actions complained of herein to be in violation of the statutor				
13	laws set forth above, including a preliminary injunction enjoining Defendant from further acts in				
14	violation of the California Act and the UCL, pending the outcome of this action;				
15	C. An order enjoining and restraining Defendant from any further acts in violation of the				
16	California Act and the UCL, as set forth above;				
17	D. An award of compensatory damages, statutory damages, restitution, and all other				
18	forms of monetary and non-monetary relief recoverable under California law;				
19	E. An award of pre-judgment and post-judgment interest;				
20	F. An award of injunctive relief;				
21	G. An award of costs, including, but not limited to, discretionary costs, attorneys' fees,				
22	and expenses incurred in prosecuting this case; and				
23	H. Grant such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.				
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE CONSUMERS LEGAL REMEDIES ACT

- 16 -

1       JURY DEMAND         2       Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial on all issues so triable.         3       DATED: March 6, 2012       ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP SHAWN A. WILLIAMS         4       SHAWN A. WILLIAMS         5       SHAWN A. WILLIAMS         6       Post Montgomery Center One Montgomery Center One Montgomery Center One Montgomery Steet, Suite 1800 San Francisco, CA 94104         7       Post Montgomery Center One Montgomery Steet, Suite 1800         9       Telephone: 415/288-4545         10       ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP PAUL J. GELLER         12       STUART A. DAVIDSON MARK I. DEARMAN         13       IL20 East Planetto Park Road, Suite 500 Boca Raton, FL 33432 Telephone: 561/750-3000         14       BOBENS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP         15       SOUTH SERVICE Road, Suite 200 Medivile, NY 11747 Telephone: 561/350-31064 (fax)         16       ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP         17       ROBENN M. ROTHMAN S South Service Road, Suite 200 Medivile, NY 11747         18       BARNOW AND ASSOCIATES, P.C. BEN BARNOW ERICH P. SCHORK One North LaSalle Street, Suite 4600 Chicago, L. 60602 Telephone: 312/641-5504 (fax)         24       CVDocuments and Settings/Temporary Internet Files/OLK11/Cpt.iPhase 45 Sticker         25       CVDocuments and Settings/Temporary Internet Files/OLK11/Cpt.iPhase 45 Sticker         26 <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>							
3       DATED: March 6, 2012       ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP SHAWN A. WILLIAMS         4       SHAWN A. WILLIAMS         5       G         6       FitAWN A. WILLIAMS         7       FitAWN A. WILLIAMS         8       Post Montgomery Center One Montgomery Street, Suite 1800 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: 415/288-4545         10       ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP         11       ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP         12       STUART A. DAVIDSON MARK J. DEARMAN         13       KATHLEEN L. BARBER 120 East Palmetto Park Road, Suite 500 Boca Raton, FL 33432 Telephone: 561/750-3000 561/750-3364 (tax)         16       ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP         17       ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & South Service Road, Suite 200 Melvile, NY 11747 Telephone: 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-7100 631/367-71	1	JURY DEMAND					
<ul> <li>&amp; DOWD LLP</li> <li>SHAWN A. WILLIAMS</li> <li>SHAWN A. WILLIAMS</li> <li>Bost Montgomery Center</li> <li>One Montgomery Street, Suite 1800</li> <li>San Francisco, CA 94104</li> <li>Telephone: 415/288-4545</li> <li>415/288-4534 (fax)</li> <li>ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN</li> <li>&amp; DOWD LLP</li> <li>PAUL J. GELLER RUDMAN</li> <li>&amp; DOWD LLP</li> <li>PAUL J. GELLER RUDMAN</li> <li>BOBBINS GELLER RUDMAN</li> <li>KATHLEEN L. BARBER</li> <li>120 East Palmetto Park Road, Suite 500</li> <li>Boca Raton, FL 33432</li> <li>Telephone: 561/750-3000</li> <li>561/750-3364 (fax)</li> <li>ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN</li> <li>&amp; DOWD LLP</li> <li>ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN</li> <li>&amp; DOWD LLP</li> <li>ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN</li> <li>BOWD LLP</li> <li>ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN</li> <li>BOWD LLP</li> <li>ROBERT M. ROTHMAN</li> <li>S8 South Service Road, Suite 200</li> <li>Meiville, NY 11747</li> <li>Telephone: 631/367-7100</li> <li>631/367-1173 (fax)</li> <li>BARNOW AND ASSOCIATES, P.C.</li> <li>BEN BARNOW</li> <li>BARNOW</li> <li>BARNOW AND ASSOCIATES, P.C.</li> <li>BEN BARNOW</li> <li>BARNOW</li></ul>	2	Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial on all issues so triable.					
<ul> <li>SHAWN A. WILLIAMS</li> <li>SHAWN A. WILLIAMS</li> <li>HAWN A. WILLIAMS</li> <li>Post Montgomery Center</li> <li>One Montgomery Street, Suite 1800</li> <li>San Francisco, CA 94104</li> <li>Telephone: 415/288-4545</li> <li>415/288-4534 (fax)</li> <li>ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN</li> <li>&amp; DOWD LLP</li> <li>PAUL J. GELLER</li> <li>STUART A. DAVIDSON</li> <li>MARK J. DEARMAN</li> <li>I20 East Palmetto Park Road, Suite 500</li> <li>Boca Raton, FL 33432</li> <li>Telephone: 561/750-3364 (fax)</li> <li>ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN</li> <li>&amp; DOWD LLP</li> <li>ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN</li> <li>&amp; BOWD LLP</li> <li>ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN</li> <li>&amp; COMMAND</li> <li>South Service Road, Suite 200</li> <li>Melville, NY 11747</li> <li>Telephone: 31/367-7100</li> <li>G31/367-1173 (fax)</li> </ul>	3	DATED: March 6, 2012					
6       Flawn A. WILLIAMS         7       Post Montgomery Center         8       One Montgomery Street, Suite 1800         9       Telephone: 415/288-4534         10       ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN         11       & DOWD LLP         12       STUART A. DAVIDSON         13       ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN         14       DOWD LLP         15       ROBARMAN         16       ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN         17       PAUL J. GELLER         18       ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN         19       ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN         10       Boca Raton, FL 33432         11       ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN         12       East Palmetto Park Road, Suite 500         13       BOWD LLP         14       BOWD LLP         15       Stot/750-3364 (fax)         16       ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN         17       ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN         18       BARNOW AND ASSOCIATES, P.C.         19       BARNOW AND ASSOCIATES, P.C.         10       G31/367-1170         13/367-1173 (fax)       BARNOW         20       BARNOW AND ASSOCIATES, P.C.         21       BARNOW AND ASSOCIATES, P.C.	4						
7       Post Montgomery Center         8       Post Montgomery Center         9       Telephone: 415/288-4545         9       Telephone: 415/288-4545         10       ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN         11       & DOWD LLP         12       PAUL J. GELLER         13       KATHLEEN L. BARBER         14       Boca Raton, FL 33432         15       Telephone: 61/750-3300         16       ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN         17       ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN         18       KATHLEEN L. BARBER         19       South Service Road, Suite 500         18       ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN         19       South Service Road, Suite 200         18       Melville, NY 11747         19       G31/367-1173 (fax)         20       BARNOW AND ASSOCIATES, P.C.         18       BEN BARNOW         21       BARNOW AND ASSOCIATES, P.C.         22       DEN OF LaSalle Street, Suite 4600         23       Sil2/641-5504 (fax)         24       Attorneys for Plaintiff         25       C-VDocuments and SettingsVDeborahDVLocal SettingsVTemporary Internet Files/OLK11VCpt iPhone 45 Stri.doc         26       27	5		$\mathbb{X}$				
<ul> <li>Post Montgomery Center One Montgomery Street, Suite 1800 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: 415/288-4545 415/288-4534 (fax)</li> <li>ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN &amp; DOWD LLP PAUL J, GELLER</li> <li>STUART A. DAVIDSON MARK J. DEARMAN KATHLEEN L. BARBER</li> <li>BARNAN KATHLEEN L. BARBER</li> <li>BARNAN KATHLEEN L. BARBER</li> <li>BARNAN KATHLEEN L. BARBER</li> <li>BOBDINS GELLER RUDMAN &amp; DOWD LLP</li> <li>ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN &amp; BOWD LLP</li> <li>BARNOW Service Road, Suite 200 Melville, NY 11747 Telephone: 631/367-1100 631/367-1173 (fax)</li> <li>BARNOW AND ASSOCIATES, P.C. BEN BARNOW ERICH P. SCHORK One North LaSalle Street, Suite 4600 Chicago, IL 60602 Telephone: 312/621-2000 312/641-5504 (fax)</li> <li>C:VDecuments and Settings/UeborahD/Local Settings/Uemporary Internet Files/OLK111/Cpt iPhone 45 Stil.dec</li> </ul>	6		SHAWN A. WILLIAMS				
8       One Montgomery Street, Suite 1800         9       Telephone: 415/288-4545         10       A15/288-4534 (fax)         10       ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN         11       & DOWD LLP         12       STUART A. DAVIDSON         13       KATHLEEN L. BARBER         14       12 Cast Palmetto Park Road, Suite 500         15       12 Deast Palmetto Park Road, Suite 500         14       Boca Raton, FL 33432         15       S61/750-3000         16       ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN         8       DOWD LLP         17       ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN         18       Melville, NY 11747         19       G31/367-1173 (fax)         20       BARNOW AND ASSOCIATES, P.C.         19       G31/367-1173 (fax)         20       BARNOW AND ASSOCIATES, P.C.         21       ERICH P. SCHORK         22       Chicago, IL 60602         23       312/641-5004 (fax)         24       Attorneys for Plaintiff         25       C:Wocuments and Settings/DebornhD/Local Settings/Temporary Internet Files/OLK11/Cpt iPhone 4S Siri.doc         26       27	7						
9       Telephone: 415/288-4545         10       ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN         11       & DOWD LLP         12       PAUL J. GELLER         13       KATHLEEN L. BARBER         14       Boca Raton, FL 33432         15       S61/750-3364 (fax)         16       ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN         17       ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN         18       ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN         19       G31/367-1170         19       G31/367-1173 (fax)         20       BARNOW AND ASSOCIATES, P.C.         21       BARNOW         22       Telephone: 631/367-7100         31/367-1173 (fax)       G8002         20       BARNOW AND ASSOCIATES, P.C.         21       BARNOW         22       Telephone: 631/367-2100         312/641-5504 (fax)       312/641-5504 (fax)         24       Attorneys for Plaintiff         25       C'Ubocuments and Settings/DebornhD/Local Settings/Temporary Internet Files/OLK11/Cpt iPhone 45 Siri.doc	8		One Montgomery Street, Suite 1800				
10       ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP PAUL J. GELLER         12       PAUL J. GELLER STUART A. DAVIDSON MARK J. DEARMAN         13       KATHLEEN L. BARBER 120 East Palmetto Park Road, Suite 500 Boca Raton, FL 33432 Telephone: 561/750-3000 561/750-3064 (fax)         16       ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP ROBERT M. ROTHMAN 58 South Service Road, Suite 200 Melville, NY 11747 Telephone: 631/367-7100 631/367-1173 (fax)         20       BARNOW AND ASSOCIATES, P.C. BEN BARNOW         21       BARNOW AND ASSOCIATES, P.C. BEN BARNOW         22       Telephone: 312/621-2000 312/641-5504 (fax)         23       Attorneys for Plaintiff         25       C:\Documents and Settings\DeborahD\Local Settings\Temporary Internet Files\OLK11\Cpt iPhone 4S Stridec	9		Telephone: 415/288-4545				
11       & DOWD LLP         PAUL J. GELLER       STUART A. DAVIDSON         12       STUART A. DAVIDSON         13       MARK J. DEARMAN         13       KATHLEEN L. BARBER         14       Boas atom, FL 33432         15       S61/750-3364 (fax)         16       ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN         17       ROBERT M. ROTHMAN         18       Melville, NY 11747         19       G31/367-1100         19       G31/367-1173 (fax)         20       BARNOW AND ASSOCIATES, P.C.         BEN BARNOW       BEN BARNOW         21       ERICH P. SCHORK         22       Chicago, IL 60602         23       312/641-5504 (fax)         24       Attorneys for Plaintiff         25       C:\Documents and Settings\DeborahD\Local Settings\Temporary Internet Files\OLK11\Cpt iPhone 45 Siri.doc	10						
12       STUART A. DAVIDSON MARK J. DEARMAN         13       NARK J. DEARMAN         14       I20 East Palmetto Park Road, Suite 500 Boca Raton, FL 33432 Telephone: 561/750-3000         15       561/750-3364 (fax)         16       ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP         17       ROBERT M. ROTHMAN S8 South Service Road, Suite 200 Melville, NY 11747 Telephone: 631/367-7100 631/367-1173 (fax)         20       BARNOW AND ASSOCIATES, P.C. BEN BARNOW         21       BARNOW AND ASSOCIATES, P.C. BEN BARNOW         22       Chicago, IL 60602 Telephone: 312/621-2000 312/641-5504 (fax)         23       Attorneys for Plaintiff         25       C:\Documents and Settings\DeborahD\Local Settings\Temporary Internet Files\OLK11\Cpt iPhone 4S Siri.doc	11		& DOWD LLP				
<ul> <li>KATHLEEN L. BARBER 120 East Palmetto Park Road, Suite 500 Boca Raton, FL 33432 Telephone: 561/750-3000 561/750-3364 (fax)</li> <li>ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN &amp; DOWD LLP</li> <li>ROBERT M. ROTHMAN 58 South Service Road, Suite 200 Melville, NY 11747 Telephone: 631/367-7100 631/367-1173 (fax)</li> <li>BARNOW AND ASSOCIATES, P.C. BEN BARNOW</li> <li>BARNOW AND ASSOCIATES, P.C. BEN BARNOW</li> <li>Chicago, IL 60602 Telephone: 312/621-2000 312/641-5504 (fax)</li> <li>Attorneys for Plaintiff</li> <li>CNDocuments and Settings/DeborahD/Local Settings/Temporary Internet Files/OLK11/Cpt iPhone 45 Stridec</li> </ul>	12		STUART A. DAVIDSON				
14       Boca Raton, FL 33432 Telephone: 561/750-3000 561/750-3364 (fax)         15       Solution         16       ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP ROBERT M. ROTHMAN 58 South Service Road, Suite 200 Melville, NY 11747 Telephone: 631/367-7100 631/367-1173 (fax)         20       BARNOW AND ASSOCIATES, P.C. BEN BARNOW 21         20       BARNOW AND ASSOCIATES, P.C. BEN BARNOW 21         20       BARNOW CIATES, P.C. BEN BARNOW 21         20       BARNOW AND ASSOCIATES, P.C. BEN BARNOW 21         20       BARNOW AND ASSOCIATES, P.C. BEN BARNOW 21         21       Ciate Comparison 22         22       Chock Come 23         23       Strick P.C. BEN BARNOW         24       Attorneys for Plaintiff         25       Cide Comparison Comparison Provide and Settings/DeborahD/Local Settings/Temporary Internet Files/OLK11/Cpt iPhone 4S Siri.doc         26       27	13		KATHLEEN L. BARBER				
<ul> <li>15 561/750-3364 (fax)</li> <li>16 ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN &amp; DOWD LLP ROBERT M. ROTHMAN 58 South Service Road, Suite 200 Melville, NY 11747 Telephone: 631/367-7100 631/367-1173 (fax)</li> <li>20 BARNOW AND ASSOCIATES, P.C. BEN BARNOW 21 ERICH P. SCHORK One North LaSalle Street, Suite 4600 Chicago, IL 60602 Telephone: 312/621-2000 312/641-5504 (fax)</li> <li>24 Attorneys for Plaintiff</li> <li>25 C:\Documents and Settings\DeborahD\Local Settings\Temporary Internet Files\OLK11\Cpt iPhone 45 Stri.doc</li> <li>26</li> </ul>	14		Boca Raton, FL 33432				
<ul> <li>&amp; DOWD LLP ROBERT M. ROTHMAN 58 South Service Road, Suite 200 Melville, NY 11747 Telephone: 631/367-7100 631/367-1173 (fax)</li> <li>BARNOW AND ASSOCIATES, P.C. BEN BARNOW ERICH P. SCHORK One North LaSalle Street, Suite 4600 Chicago, IL 60602 Telephone: 312/621-2000 312/641-5504 (fax)</li> <li>Attorneys for Plaintiff</li> <li>C:\Documents and Settings\DeborahD\Local Settings\Temporary Internet Files\OLK11\Cpt iPhone 4S Siri.doc</li> </ul>	15						
<ul> <li>58 South Service Road, Suite 200 Melville, NY 11747 Telephone: 631/367-7100 631/367-1173 (fax)</li> <li>BARNOW AND ASSOCIATES, P.C. BEN BARNOW ERICH P. SCHORK One North LaSalle Street, Suite 4600 Chicago, IL 60602 Telephone: 312/621-2000 312/641-5504 (fax)</li> <li>Attorneys for Plaintiff</li> <li>C:\Documents and Settings\DeborahD\Local Settings\Temporary Internet Files\OLK11\Cpt iPhone 4S Siri.doc</li> </ul>			& DOWD LLP				
<ul> <li>Telephone: 631/367-7100 631/367-1173 (fax)</li> <li>BARNOW AND ASSOCIATES, P.C. BEN BARNOW</li> <li>ERICH P. SCHORK One North LaSalle Street, Suite 4600 Chicago, IL 60602 Telephone: 312/621-2000 312/641-5504 (fax)</li> <li>Attorneys for Plaintiff</li> <li>C:\Documents and Settings\DeborahD\Local Settings\Temporary Internet Files\OLK11\Cpt iPhone 4S Siri.doc</li> <li>C:\Documents and Settings\DeborahD\Local Settings\Temporary Internet Files\OLK11\Cpt iPhone 4S Siri.doc</li> </ul>			58 South Service Road, Suite 200				
<ul> <li>BARNOW AND ASSOCIATES, P.C. BEN BARNOW ERICH P. SCHORK One North LaSalle Street, Suite 4600 Chicago, IL 60602 Telephone: 312/621-2000 312/641-5504 (fax)</li> <li>Attorneys for Plaintiff</li> <li>C:\Documents and Settings\DeborahD\Local Settings\Temporary Internet Files\OLK11\Cpt iPhone 4S Siri.doc</li> <li>C:\Documents and Settings\DeborahD\Local Settings\Temporary Internet Files\OLK11\Cpt iPhone 4S Siri.doc</li> </ul>			Telephone: 631/367-7100				
<ul> <li>BEN BARNOW ERICH P. SCHORK One North LaSalle Street, Suite 4600 Chicago, IL 60602 Telephone: 312/621-2000 312/641-5504 (fax)</li> <li>Attorneys for Plaintiff</li> <li>C:\Documents and Settings\DeborahD\Local Settings\Temporary Internet Files\OLK11\Cpt iPhone 4S Siri.doc</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> </ul>	1.2						
<ul> <li>One North LaSalle Street, Suite 4600 Chicago, IL 60602 Telephone: 312/621-2000 312/641-5504 (fax)</li> <li>Attorneys for Plaintiff</li> <li>C:\Documents and Settings\DeborahD\Local Settings\Temporary Internet Files\OLK11\Cpt iPhone 4S Siri.doc</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> </ul>			BEN BARNOW				
<ul> <li>Telephone: 312/621-2000 312/641-5504 (fax)</li> <li>Attorneys for Plaintiff</li> <li>C:\Documents and Settings\DeborahD\Local Settings\Temporary Internet Files\OLK11\Cpt iPhone 4S Siri.doc</li> <li>C:\Documents and Settings\DeborahD\Local Settings\Temporary Internet Files\OLK11\Cpt iPhone 4S Siri.doc</li> </ul>			One North LaSalle Street, Suite 4600				
24       Attorneys for Plaintiff         25       C:\Documents and Settings\DeborahD\Local Settings\Temporary Internet Files\OLK11\Cpt iPhone 4S Siri.doc         26       27			Telephone: 312/621-2000				
<ul> <li>25</li> <li>C:\Documents and Settings\DeborahD\Local Settings\Temporary Internet Files\OLK11\Cpt iPhone 4S Siri.doc</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> </ul>							
26 27		C:\Documents and Settings\DeborahD\Local Settings\Temporary In					
27							
	20						

- 17 -